(3)

SINGLE BENCH

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILPASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1978 /2005

PETITIONER :- Ajay @ Raju Banarsi
age 34 years
(Head constable no. 19)
S/o late Naindas Banarsi
R/O police chowki chintalnar
Post jagarguda Tahsil: Konta
Distt: Dantewada (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through: - secretary
Department of Home Affair
Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

2) Dy. Inspector General of Police (Admin.) Police Head Quarter, Raipur (C.G.)

3) Superintendent of Police
Distt : Dhamtari

4) Superintendent of Police
District Dantewada(C.G.)

P.R. No. 1757/05
Presented by Shri S.S. Porte
dated 29.04.05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUEANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT (S) OR ORDER(S) OR DIRECTION (S)

(32)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1978/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13.06.2005 Shri Y.C. Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy. Heard on admission. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner earlier posted at Dantewada was transferred to Dhamtari only on 22.5.2003 and thereafter, by the impugned order dated 02.8.2004 (Annexure-P/1) he was again transferred from Dhamtari to Dantewada. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that in pursuance of order dated 02.8.2004, the petitioner has already joined in Dantewada and impugned order has been complied by him. He further submits that the petitioner had filed a representation vide Annexure-P/2 on 9.8.2004 and representation was duly forwarded by Superintendent of Police to the concerned authority but the same has not been decided so far. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that for the present, the petition may be disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation of the petitioner within the stipulated period, so that if the transfer order of the petitioner is cancelled, he may come back to his original place of posting or he may be posted somewhere else other than Dantewada District.	

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1978/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State.

The prayer is allowed.

Let a copy of said representation dated 9.8.2004 along with a copy of writ petition and copy of this order be filed by the petitioner before the competent authority within a period of one month from today and if such representation is filed, the competent authority, shall dispose of the same by passing reasoned order as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of filing of representation.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Vatti